UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/026,043 | 10/25/2001 | Huayan A. Wang | 1190 | 8635 |

7590          05/14/2008

Oleg F. Kaplun, Esq
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN LLP
150 Broadway
Suite 702
New York, NY 10038

| EXAMINER |
|---|
| KIM, JUNG W |

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|---|---|
| 2132 | |

| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|---|---|
| 05/14/2008 | PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _14 February 2008_.

2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.        2b) ☐ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) ☒ Claim(s) _1-21_ is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) _1-21_ is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

    1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

    2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

    3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
        application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) ☐ Other: _____.

## DETAILED ACTION

1.      This Office action is in response to the RCE filed on 2/14/08.

2.      Claims 1-21 are pending.

### *Response to Arguments*

3.      On pgs. 2-3 of the Remarks, applicant argues that "in Leung, when the home
agent performs a check of its own memory to determine a previous association, it does
so only after receiving a registration request from a foreign agent", and hence, Leung
does not disclose the limitation, "when the roaming device roams to a particular access
point, determining if the particular access point has authentication data associated with
the roaming device, using the authentication data to locally authenticate the roaming
device at the particular access point if the determination is positive, or carrying out the
authentication process at the authentication server if the determination is negative."
This argument is not persuasive.  Contrary to applicant's allegation, Leung never
discloses checking its own memory for a mobile node's security association *only* when it
receives a registration request from a foreign agent.  Rather, Leung discloses that in
order to reduce the effort in retrieving multiple security associations from the server to
the Home agent when the mobile node shifts from "one care of address to another," the
SA can be cached at the Home agent.  Col. 7, lines 51-67.  Hence, the disclosure
generally teaches caching the SA at the Home agent after the initial registration and
checking its local cache for a registration request, which does not limit Leung to

checking the cache only upon receiving a registration request from a foreign agent as suggested by applicant.   Moreover, applicant's interpretation of Leung's disclosure induces a problem into the operation of the prior art.  If in Leung, the Home agent did not check its local copy when a mobile node authenticates directly with the Home agent, the Home agent may have more then one viable security associations in its local cache for the mobile node.  This scenario would potentially result in messages directed to the mobile node being forward to a care of address of a foreign agent, even though the mobile node was no longer associated with that foreign network.  Hence, checking the local cache for each authentication request appears to be the only reasonable interpretation of Leung.  For these reasons, Leung discloses the limitation "when the roaming device roams to a particular access point, determining if the particular access point has authentication data associated with the roaming device, using the authentication data to locally authenticate the roaming device at the particular access point if the determination is positive, or carrying out the authentication process at the authentication server if the determination is negative."

4.     Applicant's remaining arguments are based on those arguments discussed above.  Hence, the claims remain rejected under the prior art of record.


### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5.     Claims 1-3, 6, 10, 11 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung USPN 6,760,444 (hereinafter Leung) in view of Marko et al. USPN 5,732,350.  (hereinafter Marko)

6.      As per claim 1, Leung discloses a method for authenticating a roaming device

with a network, comprising the steps of:

       a.      generating, by an authentication server of the network, authentication data

       associated with the roaming device (col. 7:35-36);

       b.      sending, by the authentication server, the authentication data to an access

       point of the network, the access point being connected to the authentication

       server(7:38-50); and

       c.      when the roaming device roams to a particular access point, determining if

       the particular access point has authentication data associated with the roaming

       device, using the authentication data to locally authenticate the roaming device at

       the particular access point if the determination is positive, or carrying out the

       authentication process at the authentication server if the determination is

       negative. (7:50-67)

Leung does not disclose sending the authentication data to a plurality of access points

and storing the authentication data in the plurality of access points, such that the

roaming device is locally authenticated at a particular access point of the plurality of

access points. Marko discloses a method for registering a mobile station among a

plurality of base stations based upon a dynamic algorithm. When a mobile station

approaches a cell where the mobile station is not yet registered, the mobile station

registers with a based station in this cell, whereupon a network controller automatically

registers the mobile station with all base stations within the group defined by the cell

grouping level. Col. 7:24-57; 8:51-9:28. This enables the mobile station to roam among

a cell grouping without registering each time the mobile moves to a new base station

within the grouping. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to send the authentication data to a plurality of access points and

locally store the authentication data in the plurality of access points. One would be

motivated to do so to reduce user registration traffic. Marko, col. 1:58-65; 2:36-40. The

aforementioned covers the limitation of claim 1.


7.     As per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein. In addition, the

method further comprising the step of storing the authentication data in a memory

arrangement of each of the access points. See Leung, col. 7:50-67; Marko, 7:24-56.


8.     As per claim 3, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein. Leung does not

expressly teach the authentication data is encrypted. However, it is notoriously well

known in the art that authentication data transmitted in the clear is susceptible to sniffing

attacks. To prevent authentication data from being stolen, these values are typically

encrypted using a shared secret between the sender and receiver. For example, in the

RADIUS protocol, a password transmitted from a client to an authentication server is

hidden using a shared secret. Hence, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made for the authentication data to be transmitted

securely to prevent the data from being stolen as known to one of ordinary skill in the art. The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 3.

9.      As per claim 6, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein. In addition, the method further comprising the preliminary steps of determining if the particular access point has authentication data associated with the roaming device; if the determination is positive, proceed to the step of using the authentication data to locally authenticate the roaming device at the particular access point; and if the determination is negative, proceed to the step of generating, by an authentication server of the network, authentication data associated with the roaming device. Leung, col. 7:10-31; 7:56-8:8.

10.     As per claim 10, Leung discloses a method for authenticating a roaming device with a network, comprising the steps of:

        d.      connecting the roaming device with an authentication server upon a
        contact of the roaming device with a first access point of the network;
        authenticating the roaming device with the authentication server if the access
        point has no authentication data associated with the roaming device; generating
        authentication data for the roaming device; distributing, by the authentication
        server, the authentication data to the first access point of the network; and locally
        authenticating the roaming device upon a contact with the first access point using
        the distributed authentication data. Col. 7:35-67.

Leung does not disclose sending the authentication data to a second access point and storing the authentication data in the second access point, then locally authenticating the roaming device upon a contract with the second access point using the distributed authentication data. Marko discloses a method for registering a mobile station among a plurality of base stations based upon a dynamic algorithm. When a mobile station approaches a cell where the mobile station is not yet registered, the mobile station registers with a based station in this cell, whereupon a network controller automatically registers the mobile station with all base stations within the group defined by the cell grouping level. Col. 7:24-57; 8:51-9:28. This enables the mobile station to roam among a cell grouping without registering each time the mobile moves to a new base station within the grouping. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send the authentication data to a second access point and store the authentication data in the second access point, then locally authenticate the roaming device upon a contract with the second access point using the distributed authentication data. One would be motivated to do so to reduce user registration traffic. Marko, col. 1:58-65; 2:36-40. The aforementioned covers the limitation of claim 10.

11.     As per claim 11, the rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein. In addition, the method further comprising the step of authenticating the roaming device with the

authentication server if the local authentication of the roaming device fails. Leung, col.
7:10-31; 7:56-8:8.


12.     As per claim 15, the rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being
unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein. In addition, the
authentication server is a remote authentication dial-in user server. Leung, col. 7:1-5.


13.     As per claim 16, Leung discloses a system for authenticating a roaming device
with a network, comprising:

> e.      an authentication server connected to the network; and first and second
> access points connected to the authentication server, the first and second access
> points being capable of communicating with the roaming device, each of the first
> and second access points including a memory arrangement capable of storing
> authentication data corresponding to the roaming device, wherein the
> authentication server sends the authentication data to the first access point upon
> an initial authentication procedure of the roaming device with the first access
> point when the first access point has no authentication data associated with the
> roaming device, and wherein the first access point authenticates the roaming
> device upon a contact of the roaming device with the first access point. Col.
> 7:35-67.

Leung does not disclose sending the authentication data to a second access point and
storing the authentication data in the second access point, then locally authenticating

the roaming device upon a contract with the second access point using the distributed

authentication data. Marko discloses a method for registering a mobile station among a

plurality of base stations based upon a dynamic algorithm. When a mobile station

approaches a cell where the mobile station is not yet registered, the mobile station

registers with a based station in this cell, whereupon a network controller automatically

registers the mobile station with all base stations within the group defined by the cell

grouping level. Col. 7:24-57; 8:51-9:28. This enables the mobile station to roam among

a cell grouping without registering each time the mobile moves to a new base station

within the grouping. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to send the authentication data to a second access point and store

the authentication data in the second access point, then locally authenticate the

roaming device upon a contract with the second access point using the distributed

authentication data. One would be motivated to do so to reduce user registration

traffic. Marko, col. 1:58-65; 2:36-40. The aforementioned covers the limitation of claim

16.


14.     As per claim 17, the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein. In addition, the

second access point authenticates the roaming device with the authentication server if

the authentication data is not found in the memory arrangement of the second access

point. Leung, col. 7:10-31; 7:56-8:8.

15.     As per claim 18, the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein.  In addition, the

second access point authenticates the roaming device with the authentication server if

the local authentication of the roaming device at the second access point fails.  Leung,

col. 7:10-31; 7:56-8:8.


16.     Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Leung in view of Marko, and further in view of Ablay et al. USPN 5,408,683. (hereinafter

Ablay)


17.     As per claim 4, the rejection of claim 3 under 35 USC 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein.  Leung does not

expressly disclose using prediction algorithms to anticipate where the roaming device

will roam to determine to which access points to send the encrypted authentication data.

Ablay discloses a method of tracking subscribers in a networked radio communications

system having a plurality of trunked communication networks using location information

of the subscribers to anticipate a roaming unit's location to reduce the number of

registrations and de-registrations of the roaming unit.  Col. 5:19-60; 6:26-57.  Therefore,

it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

to combine the teachings of Ablay with the invention of Leung and Marko to use

prediction algorithms to anticipate where the roaming device will roam to determine to

which access points to send the encrypted authentication data.  One would be

motivated to do so to reduce the transmission overhead in keeping track of roaming

subscribers.  Ablay, 3:30-37.  The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 4.


18.     As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 4 under 35 USC 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko and Ablay is incorporated herein.  In addition,

the limitation of sending the encrypted authentication data to all the access points is an

obvious enhancement in view of the teaching of Ablay that a mobile unit's registration is

maintained at all access points in the anticipated probable locations of the mobile unit.

Ablay, col. 5:19-26.  The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 5.


19.     Claims 7, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Leung in view of Marko, and further in view of Vij et al. USPN 6,452,910.

(hereinafter Vij)


20.     As per claim 7, the rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein.  (supra)  In addition,

the step of using the authentication data to locally authenticate the roaming device

further comprises reassociating the roaming device with the particular access point of

the access points by providing identification information.  Leung, col. 7:10-13.  However,

Leung only discloses that the roaming device provides identification, and does not

disclose that an exchange occurs between the roaming device and access points to

reassociate.  Vij discloses a management means for wireless access points wherein

wireless devices are mutually authenticated with access points utilizing a common link

key to verify that the wireless device is authorized to access the access point, and to

ensure that the access point is the intended receiver. Col. 11:1-7. Therefore, it would be

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the

reassociating to include a mutual authentication between the roaming device and the

access point, since it is desirous to verify that the participants belong to the same local

network. Vij, ibid. The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 7.


21.     As per claim 8, the rejection of claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is incorporated

herein. In addition, the reassociating step further includes the substeps of: searching a

memory arrangement of the particular access point for the authentication data

associated with the roaming device; and if the authentication data is found, performing a

mutual authentication procedure between the roaming device and the particular access

point. Leung, col. 7:10-31; 7:56-8:8; Vij, 11:1-7.


22.     As per claim 13, the rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein. In addition, Leung

discloses the locally authenticating step further includes the substeps of: providing

identification data by the roaming device to the second access point; and correlating the

identification data with the distributed authentication data. Col. 7:10-13. However,

Leung only discloses that the roaming device provides identification, and does not

disclose exchanging identification between the roaming device and access points to

reassociate.  Vij discloses a management means for wireless access points wherein

wireless devices are mutually authenticated with access points using a common link key

to verify that the wireless device is authorized to access the access point, and to ensure

that the access point is the intended receiver. Col. 11:1-7.  Therefore, it would be

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the

reassociating to include a mutual authentication between the roaming device and the

access point, since it is desirous to verify that the participants of a transmission belong

to the same local network. Vij, ibid.  The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim

13.


23.     Claims 9, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Leung in view of Marko, and further in view of Zhang et al. US Patent Application

no. 20020174335 (hereinafter Zhang); RFC 2138 is incorporated to illustrate inherent

properties of the RADIUS protocol.


24.     As per claim 9, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein.  In addition, the

generating step further includes the steps of: receiving an authentication request from

the roaming device; determining that the roaming device can be granted access to

network services. Leung, col. 7:11-8:12.  Leung does not expressly teach generating

an encrypted session key associated with the roaming device in the authentication

server; wherein the authentication request is encrypted.  Zhang discloses an

authentication procedure for mobile devices designed by Cisco wherein a roaming user

is authenticated via an access point, and uses the RADIUS protocol to authenticate the

user to an authentication server.  Upon, authentication, an encrypted session key is

delivered from the authentication server to the access point and the user.  (pg. 3,

paragraphs 44-46; RFC 2138, pg. 4, last sentence, section 2, the password is encrypted

using a method based on the RSA message digest algorithm MD5) Further, it is

notoriously well known that authentication data transmitted in the clear is susceptible to

sniffing attacks; to prevent authentication data from being stolen, these values are

typically encrypted using a shared secret between the sender and receiver.  For

example, in the RADIUS protocol, a password transmitted from a client to an

authentication server is hidden using a shared secret.  Hence, it would be obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate an

encrypted session key associated with the roaming device in the authentication server;

wherein the authentication request is encrypted.  One would be motivated to do so to

securely transmit data as reflected in the RADIUS protocol and the Cisco authentication

procedure.  The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 9.


25.     As per claims 12 and 14, the rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Leung in view of Marko is incorporated herein.  In addition,

Leung discloses the use of RADIUS protocol to authenticate the user with an

authentication server, but Leung does not expressly disclose the distribution step further

includes the substep of distributing an encrypted session key to the first and second

access points, the method further comprising the steps of establishing a shared secret

encryption between the authentication server and the first and second access points.

Zhang discloses an authentication procedure for mobile devices designed by Cisco

wherein a roaming user is authenticated via an access point, and uses the RADIUS

protocol to authenticate the user to an authentication server. Upon, authentication, an

encrypted session key is delivered from the authentication server to the access point

and the user (pg. 3, paragraphs 44-46; RFC 2138, pg. 4, last sentence, section 2, the

password is encrypted using a method based on the RSA message digest algorithm

MD5) Further, it is notoriously well known that authentication data transmitted in the

clear is susceptible to sniffing attacks; to prevent authentication data from being stolen,

these values are typically encrypted using a shared secret between the sender and

receiver. Hence, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made for the distribution step to further include the substep of distributing

an encrypted session key to the first and second access points, the method further

comprising the steps of establishing a shared secret encryption between the

authentication server and the first and second access points. One would be motivated

to do so to securely transmit data as reflected in the RADIUS protocol and the Cisco

authentication procedure. The aforementioned cover the limitations of claims 12 and

14.

26.     Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung in

view of Zhang; RFC 2138 is incorporated to illustrate inherent properties of the RADIUS

protocol.


27.     As per claim 19, Leung discloses a method for authenticating a roaming device

with a network, comprising the steps of: with an authentication server, receiving an

authentication request from a roaming device if the access point connected with the

roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device, sending

the authentication data to an access point of the network, and utilizing the

authentication data to authenticate the roaming device at the access point.  Leung does

not disclose the request being encrypted with a first shared code; generating a session

key associated with the roaming device; sending the session key to an access point of

the network, the session key being encrypted with a second shared code; and utilizing

the session key to authenticate the roaming device at the access point, and to encrypt

data exchanged between the roaming device and the access point.  Zhang discloses an

authentication procedure for mobile devices designed by Cisco wherein a roaming user

is authenticated via an access point, and uses the RADIUS protocol to authenticate the

user to an authentication server.  Upon, authentication, an encrypted session key is

delivered from the authentication server to the access point and the user (pg. 3,

paragraphs 44-46; RFC 2138, pg. 4, last sentence, section 2, the password is encrypted

using a method based on the RSA message digest algorithm MD5) Further, it is

notoriously well known that authentication data transmitted in the clear is susceptible to

sniffing attacks; to prevent authentication data from being stolen, these values are typically encrypted using a shared secret between the sender and receiver. For example, in the RADIUS protocol, a password transmitted from a client to an authentication server is hidden using a shared secret. Hence, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the request to be encrypted with a first shared code; generating a session key associated with the roaming device; sending the session key to an access point of the network, the session key being encrypted with a second shared code; and utilizing the session key to authenticate the roaming device at the access point, and to encrypt data exchanged between the roaming device and the access point. One would be motivated to do so to securely transmit data as reflected in the RADIUS protocol and the Cisco authentication procedure. The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 19.

28.     Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung in view of Zhang, and further in view of Marko.

29.     As per claim 20, the rejection of claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is incorporated herein. Leung does not disclose the step of sending the encrypted session key to a further access point of the network to authenticate the roaming device at the further access point. Marko discloses a method for registering a mobile station among a plurality of base stations based upon a dynamic algorithm. When a mobile station approaches a cell where the mobile station is not yet registered, the mobile station

registers with this station, whereupon a network controller automatically registers the

mobile station with all base stations within the group defined by the cell grouping level.

Col. 7:24-57; 8:51-9:28. This enables the mobile station to roam among a cell grouping

without registering each time the mobile moves to a cell within the grouping. It would be

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include

the step of sending the encrypted session key to a further access point of the network to

authenticate the roaming device at the further access point. One would be motivated to

do so to reduce user registration traffic. Marko, col. 1:58-65; 2:36-40. The

aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 20.


30.    Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung in

view of Zhang, and further in view of Quick, Jr. USPN 6,178,506 (hereinafter Quick

'506).


31.    As per claim 21, the rejection of claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is incorporated

herein. In addition, Leung in view of Zhang discloses the method further comprising the

steps of: generating a first key of the session key to perform authentication of the

roaming device at the access point; and generating a second key of the session key to

encrypt data exchanges between the roaming device and the access point. See Leung,

7:50-61; see Zhang, paragraph 45. Leung does not expressly teach the first key as

being different from the second key. Quick '506 discloses an authentication method

wherein a first portion of a session key is used for authentication and a second portion

of the session key is used for encryption. Since, the session key is larger then the required byte size necessary for authentication, the portion not used for authentication is used for encryption. Col. 5:38-50. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the first key generated from the session key to perform authentication and the second key generated from the session key to perform encryption to be different keys, since the protocols for authentication and encryption typically require different length keys. Quick '506, 5:45-50. The aforementioned cover the limitations of claim 21.

### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Communications Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUNG KIM whose telephone number is (571)272-3804. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jung Kim/
Primary Examiner        AU 2132